

1 Stephen E. Taylor (SBN 058452)
Jonathan A. Patchen (SBN 237346)
2 TAYLOR & COMPANY LAW OFFICES, LLP
One Ferry Building, Suite 355
3 San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 788-8200
4 Facsimile: (415) 788-8208
Email: staylor@tcolaw.com
5 Email: jpatchen@tcolaw.com

6 Kenneth A. Gallo (*pro hac vice to be submitted*)
7 Joseph J. Simons (*pro hac vice to be submitted*)
Craig A. Benson (*pro hac vice to be submitted*)
8 PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
2001 K Street, NW
9 Washington, DC 20006-1047
Telephone: (202) 223-7300
10 Facsimile: (202) 223-7420
Email: kgallo@paulweiss.com
11 Email: jsimons@paulweiss.com
Email: cbenson@paulweiss.com

12 *Attorneys for Sharp Electronics Corporation,*
13 *Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America, Inc.*

14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
15 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

16
17 IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
18 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

19 This Document Relates To:

20 *Sharp Electronics Corporation, Sharp Electronics*
Manufacturing Company of America, Inc., v.
21 *Hitachi, Ltd. et al.*, Case No. 3:13-cv-1173 EDL.

Case No. 07-cv-5944

MDL No. 1917

**ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION
PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12 TO
CONSIDER WHETHER CASES
SHOULD BE RELATED**

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12 of this Court, Sharp Electronics Corporation and Sharp
 2 Electronics Manufacturing Company of America, Inc. (“Plaintiffs”), submit this Administrative
 3 Motion to consider whether the action captioned *Sharp Electronics Corporation, Sharp*
 4 *Electronics Manufacturing Company of America, Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, Case No. 3:13-cv-
 5 1173 EDL (the “Sharp Action”), filed March 15, 2013, and currently pending in the Northern
 6 District of California and assigned to the Honorable Elizabeth D. Laporte, should be related to *In*
 7 *re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation*, Case No. 07-cv-5944-SC (MDL No. 1917).

8 Civil Local Rule 3-12(a) states that an action is related to another when the actions
 9 “concern substantially the same parties, property, transaction or event” and it “appears likely that
 10 there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the
 11 cases are conducted before different Judges.” The Sharp Action meets Local Rule 3-12’s criteria
 12 for relatedness. It is an antitrust action filed against certain defendants also named in MDL No.
 13 1917. Both actions involve allegations that defendants conspired to fix the prices of Cathode Ray
 14 Tubes (“CRTs”) and seek the same form of relief under Section 1 of the Sherman Act, Sections 4
 15 and 16 of the Clayton Act, and the laws of California and other states.

16 ///

17 ///

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28

1 Given the closely related nature of these cases, each involving substantially similar
2 questions of law and fact, there will potentially be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and
3 expense and/or conflicting rulings if the Sharp Action is not deemed related to MDL No. 1917.
4 Accordingly, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Sharp Action be deemed related to MDL
5 No. 1917 and reassigned to the Honorable Samuel Conti.

6
7 Dated: March 20, 2013

By: /s/ Jonathan A. Patchen

8
9 Stephen E. Taylor (SBN 058452)
Jonathan A. Patchen (SBN 237346)
TAYLOR & COMPANY LAW OFFICES, LLP
10 One Ferry Building, Suite 355
San Francisco, California 94111
11 Telephone: (415) 788-8200
Facsimile: (415) 788-8208
12 Email: staylor@tcolaw.com
Email: jpatchen@tcolaw.com
13

14 Kenneth A. Gallo (*pro hac vice to be submitted*)
Joseph J. Simons (*pro hac vice to be submitted*)
15 Craig A. Benson (*pro hac vice to be submitted*)
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
16 2001 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
17 Telephone: (202) 223-7356
Facsimile: (202) 204-7356
18 Email: kgallo@paulweiss.com
Email: jsimons@paulweiss.com
19 Email: cbenson@paulweiss.com

20 *Attorneys for Sharp Electronics Corporation and*
21 *Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America,*
22 *Inc.*
23
24
25
26
27
28